

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/059,427 | 01/29/2002 | Jeroen Anton Johan Leijten | 260695 | 6839 |
| 23460 LEYDIG VOI | 7590 07/06/201 Γ & MAYER, LTD | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE | | | PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW | |
| CHICAGO, IL | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2183 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/06/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 10/059,427 | LEIJTEN, JEROEN ANTON JOHAN | | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| JACOB PETRANEK | 2183 | | | | |

| Before the rining of all Appear Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | JACOB PETRANEK | 2183 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CPR 1.13(e). The date- ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any regly received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b). VOITCE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | iled within two months | s of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | appeal. Since | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. 🛮 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | | | cause | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | E below); | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | lucing or simplifying ti | ne issues for | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reig | octed claims | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1: | | otod cidii i io. | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mnliant Amendment (| PTOL-324) | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | inpliant Americanient (| 102-324). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | imely filed amendmen | t canceling the | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | orabic ii dabriillod iir a doparato, t | anoly mod amondmor | it carrooming the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3, 5-8, 16-20. | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH | | C. (T. A | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | / Josep Detropol/ | | | | | | | |

Examiner, AU 2183

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments "said information including at least a fetch bit and a realign bit", "the fetch bit signals ...", and "the realign bit signals ..." require further search and/or consideration.